Working Elderly in Fiji: Choice or Necessity?

Kesaia Seniloli Rupeni Tawake

Abstract

This paper examined the demographic, social and economic factors influencing the work types and patterns of the elderly in Fiji. A binary logistic model was applied to determine the magnitude of the effects of selected social and economic variables on work status of the elderly in Fiji. The results shows that among other factors, Age, Gender, Living Arrangements, Education and Health Status were most important in explaining the variation in the elderly working for wages and salary or being in selfemployment. For many of the elderly in Fiji, work is a necessity rather than a choice.

Introduction

Documentation, including academic research, on elderly in the work force in Fiji has been generally absent. It is now state policy to regard 55 years as the official retirement age for government employees. Whether the threshold of 55 years becomes the starting point for the definition of an 'elderly' has not been discussed. The UN refers to 60 years and above as older population. Most developed countries however refer to 65 years and above as the 'elderly'. There, thus, is no universal definition of 'elderly'. The definition of elderly, therefore, is quite arbitrary, which makes cross-country studies difficult.

'Retirement' has become the default definition of 'elderly (WHO). Thus, for developing countries like Fiji, 'elderly' is usually associated with retirement; this often would the point at which one qualifies to receive a pension benefit, if one were on a pension scheme. For Fiji 55 years is that age. At 55 workers qualify to claim their pension funds from the mandatory superannuation scheme in which employees and employers contribute during the former's working life. At 55 also, government employees are retired. The Government is the single largest employer in the country.

2 Fijian Studies Vol 14, No.

This paper explores the life of work after people reach 55 years of age. The specific objectives of this paper are to examine the factors that are likely to influence the decisions about continued workforce participation of the elderly in Fiji; to determine the types and patterns of work of the elderly here; to analyse the characteristics of the elderly who continue to work, and to assess the magnitude of the effects of each of the determinants of work amongst the elderly on their labour force participation.

The Working Elderly – an Explanation

Devaney (1995:172-185) explains that in developing countries as lifespan increases, so does the need to seek incomes. In these countries, higher proportions of the elderly are living below the poverty threshold due to low incomes. In these countries, population ageing is linked to poverty.

Conflict theory of productive ageing presupposes that because of the need to earn and provide for themselves and family members, population in low income countries will be productive in older age (Merton, 1968). Many elderly persons in developing countries feel financially squeezed to stop working. With increased costs, some of the elderly continue to work in their professions, while some who are more entrepreneurial start business of their own.

Schuller (1987) discussed the intricate shift between labour and retirement. He argued that there is no longer an easy shift from education to employment as well as an unclear phase following working life. Schuller termed this 'work-ending', and called for more studies on this abandoned life stage. This would include examination of the factors surrounding decisions to be involved in the labour force for individuals who are in their 50s and 60s and even beyond. In this regard, it is particularly important to study the extent of the influence of family situations and caring roles on working lives, efficiency, earnings and one's capability to plan for retirement. There is a need to conduct studies on these issues in Fiji as its population continues to age.

Like in any other developing country, in Fiji the proportion of the elderly that works at any particular point in time depends much on the individual saving and pension status. Even though people depend on their savings and pensions, often they have not accumulated sufficient sums or accounted enough wealth during their working years to enable them to sustain them in their old age. A vast majority of the elderly that have access to superannuation funds, have large proportions of their superannuation sums used up in financing their children's education, financing housing needs and meeting other family obligations, therefore reducing their savings stock. Expectations are that they will depend on the support from their children or relatives in their old age. Failing this, the need to continue to work.

The liability to care for ones parents as they age lies with the younger age group in their families. Typical of the Pacific culture, filial piety is rooted in Fijian and Indian cultures. Children are usually obliged to support their aged parents in return for the parental care and support provided to them during their child and teenage lives; Gouldner (1960) termed this as reciprocity. However voluntary old age support is not guaranteed; this uncertainty forces many people to work beyond retirement age.¹

Westernization has changed people's perceptions towards the extended family. At present, there is greater preference for smaller nuclear families rather than large extended families. Many people tend to view the elderly as an additional burden on their family. Ruggles (1987) states that there will be a movement in family structure generally from less extended family to more nuclear families.

Industrialization and urbanization, consequences of economic development, have also resulted in greater rural to urban and international migration of the younger generation, mainly in search of better education and job opportunities. For rural dwellers, this leaves the elderly to lose assurance of financial and social support of their children or extended families to them in old age. Social support may include an active social life without boredom. The lack of assurance of support has caused most of the elderly to work to advanced ages.

Labour Force and Polulation Statistics: Fiji

Life expectency in Fiji has been rising consistently. As Table 1 shows, there has been a significant increase in life expectency from the 1950's; overall it increased by 37% between 1956 and 2007. This is over 0.7% increase per annum. The increase in female life expectancy has been higher than that of male life expectancy.

¹ Legally, however, parental care is mandatory., S159 of the Family Law Act requires that a 'person is liable to maintain his or her parent' to the extent that the person is reasonably able to do so but only if and only if the parent is unable to support herself or himself adequately whether by reason of age or physical or mental incapacity for inappropriate gainful employment. or for any other adequate reason. Courts are emplowered to make any order they consider proper for the provision of parental maintenance. To date, hwoever, this provision has not been utilised in any court proceeding. We acknowledge Dr. Ganesh Chand for bringing this to our attention.

Table 1	Table 1: Life Expectancy at Dirth, Fiji (Years)						
Period	Overall)	Male	Female				
1956	51	52	54				
1966	58	56	59				
1976	62	60	63				
1986	65	63	66				
1996	67	65	69				
2007	70	68	71				

Т	abl	le 1:	Life	Expect	ancy at	Birth,	Fiji (Years)	

(Source: Fiji Island Bureau of Statistics)

A high life expectancy also means a large number of older people in the society. The population of those over 55 increased by 52% between 1996 and 2007. This is a phenomenal 4.7% growth per year in the number of older people.

Labour Force Participation of people aged 55 and over in Fiji increased during the last inter-censal period, 1996-2007 by 21% (Table 2). This is a 1.9% growth per annum.

	1996	2007
Total Population 55+ years	62,052	94,082
In Labour Force	29,714 (47.9%)	35,914 (38.2%)
Money Economy	16,966 (27.4)	23,729 (25.2%)
Without Subsistence	7,264	16,219
With Subsistence	9,724	7,510
Unemployed	1,147	2,372
Subsistence		
Money work	9,724	1,403
No money work	11,579	9,813

 Table 2: Economic Activity, population 55 years and over: 1996, 2007

(Source: Bureau of statistics, 1996, 2007)

LFPR by different age groupings for those above 55 shows that participation rate declined with age progression during both census years (Table 3). Statistics also show that for each age category, the LPFR has been lower in 2007 than what it was in 1996. The overall rate has also fallen from 47.9% to 44.3% during the period.² These are interesting re-

² The 2007 census was taken soon after the decision was made by the Government to reduce the retirement age in Fiji. Immediately, this shock pushed many people to de-

sults.

	All sectors, 1996			Al	l sectors, 20	07
Age	LF	Рор	LFPR	LF	Рор	LFPR
55-59	12,863	22,245	57.8	15,830	31,161	50.8
60-64	8,033	15,459	52.0	9,218	24,120	38.2
65-69	4,801	10,761	44.6	5,563	16,808	33.1
70-74	2,323	6,357	36.5	2,781	10,110	27.5
75+	1,694	7,230	23.4	2,654	11,902	22.3
Total	29,714	62,052	47.9	97,481	220,212	44.3

Table 3: Labour Force Participation Rates (%); 55 years and over

(Source: Fiji Bureau of Statistics)

About 31 per cent of the economically active population over 15 years old is in the formal sector and earning wages and salary (Bureau of Statistics, 2008). A significant proportion of those working in the formal sector are employed by the government. The retirement age for these civil servants is currently 55 years. The government view is that employment of older persons reduces job opportunities for younger persons. This was the key motivation for reducing the retirement age in 2007 from 60 years. The 'lump of labor theory' (Munnel and Wu, 2012), however, shows that there is no evidence in United States and China of crowding out of younger persons from job opportunities by the continued employment of older persons.

Given, therefore, the current life expectancy of 70 years, and the current retirement age of 55, it is critical to examine the income and sustainability status of the people in the 15-year period from the retirement age to the end of their lives.

FNPF data shows that 75% of their current/active members had less than \$10,000 in their accounts. Only 4% of the members have balances above \$50,000. But even a \$50,000 balance on retirment will leave an annual pension of \$4,350, or \$83.65 per week. This is below the poverity line income.

There are other serious issues in terms of life sustainability of those retiring at 55. FNPF data shows that in 2015, 96% of those that had

fine themselves out of the 'labour force'. It is, however expected that they would subsequently return to the labour force, thereby somewhat countering the massive decline that is shown.

reached retirement age opted to take out all their superannuation funds in bulk, leaving no fund for a pension.³ In a country where financial literacy is relatively limited, and where there is no independent agency providing investment advise to retirees, the chances of the pension funds being squandered in conspicuous consumption are high.

What is clear is that whether it be a lifetime pension or withdrawal from the superannuation schemes, the base sums commence by condemning the retirees into an existence below the poverty line. This is one of the major reasons forcing the elderly to seek re-employment.

Studying and knowing the patterns and types of work the elderly are engaged in will be useful in formulation of policies about the wellbeing of the elderly. Although work is important in maintaining the quality of life of the elderly in Fiji, very few studies conducted thus far have specifically focused on the labour force participation of the elderly.

This paper examines this issue on the basis of official statistics from the national census report for 1996 and 2007, and a *Working Elderly Survey* carried out by the authors during 2011-2012. The Working Elderly Survey administered a semi- structured questionnaire to eight hundred and fifteen (815) people over the age of 55 years. The study population was the group which was 55 years and older at the time of the 2007 Census. The total population for this group was 94,101, which is 11 percent of the national population.

This study used a return of 787 sets of data. The distribution betwen the urban and rural was proportionate to the distribution of the national population. Bivariate and Multivariate analysis were employed in the data analysis. The independent effect of following factors was assessed on work: age, marital status, gender, number of children, education, health status, caring responsibility and financial status. In the regression analysis, only the working elderly were considered. The dependent variable is dichotomous - which only has two categories, i.e., the elderly is either engaged in wages/salary paid job or self-employed job.

Table 4a provides some basic data obtained from the survey, while Table 4b shows the profile of the elderly in Fiji.

³ <u>http://fijione.tv/majority-of-fnpf-members-have-less-than-10k/</u>

		No	%
Age	55-64yrs	469	59.6%
	65-74	235	29.9%
	75years	83	10.5%
Marital Status	Married	534	67.9%
	Ever Married ⁴	216	27.4%
	Single	37	4.7%
Sex	Male	410	52.1%
	Female	377	47.9%
Area of	Urban	384	48.8%
Residence	Rural	403	51.2%
Ethnicity	Fijian	419	53.2%
	Indian	368	46.8%
Education	No Education	95	12.1%
	Up to primary Level	411	52.4%
	Up to Secondary Level	186	23.7%
	Up to Tertiary Level	95	12.1%
Living	Alone or with spouse only	265	33.7%
Arrangements	With spouse & at least one child	430	54.6%
	With spouse, at least one child plus	92	11.7%
	others		
Number of	0	97	12.3%
Living children	1-3	411	52.2%
	>4	279	35.5%
Household Size	1-3	354	45.0
	>4	433	55.0
Health	Not so healthy	119	15.1
	Healthy	457	58.1
	Very Healthy	211	26.8
Work Status	Wages or Salary	62	7.9
	Self Employed	185	23.5
	Unpaid Work	540	68.6
Income	Up to F\$15,000	763	97.0
	>F\$15,000	24	3.0

Table 4a: Characteristics of the Study Sample

⁴ 'Ever Married' are those who were married but now are widowed, divorced or separated

Tuble	Table 40: Profile of the working Elderly in Fiji			
		No	%	
Age	55-64yrs	173	70.0%	
	65-74	64	25.9%	
	75yrs+	10	4.0%	
Marital Sta-	Married	180	72.9%	
tus	Ever Married	50	20.2%	
	Single	17	6.9%	
Gender	Male	123	49.8%	
	Female	124	50.2%	
Area of Resi-	Urban	107	43.3%	
dence	Rural	140	56.7%	
Ethnicity	Fijian	170	68.8%	
	Indian	77	31.2%	
Education	No Education	19	7.7%	
	Up to Primary Level	130	52.6%	
	Up to Secondary Level	69	27.9%	
	Up to Tertiary Level	29	11.7%	
Living	Alone or with Spouse only	88	35.6%	
Arrangements	With Spouse & at least one	133	53.8%	
	With spouse, at least one			
	child plus others	26	10.5%	
Number of	0	40	16.2%	
Living Chil-	1-3	124	50.2%	
dren	>4	83	33.6%	
Household	1-3	124	50.2%	
Size	>4	123	49.8%	
Health	Not so healthy	32	13.0%	
	Healthy	163	66.0%	
	Very Healthy	52	21.1%	

Table 4b: Profile of the Working Elderly in Fiji

Profile of the Working Elderly in Fiji

70% of those surveyed were in the age grop 55-64 and another 26% in the age group 25-74. 73% ere married, but 7% were always single. The questionnaire return rate shows a skew towards rural residents and ethnic Fijian elderlies. Slightly over 60% of the respondents had eithe rno for-

mal education or formal education only up to primary level. 12%, however, had tertiary education.

The survey found that a third of the elderly eithe rstayed alone or stayed with their spouses. The rest stayed wiht at least one child. 11% stated with a child and at least someone else. A vast majority (87%) claimed to he healthy or very healthy.

Economic indicators are given in Table 5. A vast marority (75%) were in self-employed, with the rest worked for others for a wage. In terms fincome, 91% had incomes less than \$15,000 per annum.

Tuble et Beonomie Frome of the Worming Blacity in Fijr					
Work Status	No	%			
Wages and Salary	62	25.1%			
Self Employed	185	74.9%			
Income					
Upto \$15,000	225	91.1%			
>F\$15,000	22	8.9%			

Table 5: Economic Profile of the Working Elderly in Fiji

(Source: Working Elderly Survey 2011-2012)

Types and Patterns and Work: Wage and Salary Earners

Of those working for wages or salary 52% of those in the age group 55-64 and 63% in the group 65-74 were engaged in elementary occupations. Table 6 provides data on wage and salary earners. This is expected given their educational backgrounds. The jobs included basic administrative/secretariat work, taxi driving, house maids, security guards, and farm laborers. A majority in this caregory were married, urban dwellers, Fijian by ethnicity, living with children, had only attained no more than primary level of education, dwelled in big households, and regarded themselves as healthy.

Less than a quarter were in engaged in professional or crafts and related trades jobs. These were mostly those with trade skills, carpentary in particular with a sprinkling in automotive and plumbing trades. These elderly tended to have attained either secondary or tertiary levels of education; only a small minority in this category had only primary level education but all these had also gained work experience over the years in the resepctive fields. Interestingly, a significant number of elderly were engaged in 'consultancy work' - and they were doctors, mid-wives, or primary school teachers.

		Crafts & Related Trades	Consul- tancy	Elemen- tary	Total (N)
Age	55-64	21.7	26.1	52.2	46
_	65-74	25.0	12.5	62.5	16
					0.533*
Marital	Married	26.0	22.0	52.0	50
Status	Ever Married / Single	8.3	25.0	66.7	12
					0.416*
Sex	Males	23.7	28.9	47.4	38
	Females	20.8	12.5	66.7	24
					0.245*
Area	Urban	22.2	17.8	60.0	45
	Rural	23.5	35.3	41.2	17
					0.289*
Ethnicity	Fijian	22.5	22.5	55.0	40
	Indian	22.7	22.7	54.5	22
					0.999*
Living	Alone /Spouse	16.7	25.0	58.3	24
With	Children	25.9	25.9	48.1	27
	Children & Others	27.3	9.1	63.6	11
					0.713*
Educa-	Primary or Less	20.0	12.0	68.0	25
tion	Secondary or more	24.3	29.7	45.9	37
					0.172*
Living	=<3	19.5	26.8	53.7	41
Children	=>4	28.6	14.3	57.1	21
					0.472*
House-	1-3	7.4	33.3	59.3	27
hold Size	>4	34.3	14.3	51.4	35
					0.024*
Health	Not so healthy	-	33.3	66.7	6
Status	Healthy	28.9	22.2	48.9	45
	Very Healthy	9.1	18.2	72.7	11
	•				0.340

Table 6: Type of Work of Elderly working for Wages and Salary by Socio-Economic Variables

(Source: Working Elderly Survey 2011-2012)

73% of the total wage workers over 55 comprised those staying in urban areas. This could be explained by a greater need for cash incomes in urban areas than rural areas, a manifestation of the economic necessity in urban areas due to high cash-cost of living pushing the elderly to work.

Almost two-thirds of the elderly that are working for wages or salary are Fijians (65%). Elderly engaged in elementary occupations are most likely to be living alone or with spouse only (58%).

Just over a half live in household sizes of four or more.

47% of those above 55 in the workforce remained in the same occupations as they were before retirement. Most of the elderly who maintained same occupation are engaged in elementary occupations. As expected, the opportunity for movement of those in elementary occupations is limited. Those in other categories are relatively more mobile across professons. Thus, as shown in Table 7, of those working and who were in professional, craft and related work before their retirment, 62% left these professions, joining either consultancy or elementary occupations. Commensurately, the proprotion of those in the latter two categories increased. The transition noticed is the movement from professions of teachers, administrators, police officers and army officers, to taxi/van driving, etc. Such transition in occupational structure has comes wiht a decline in earnings and incomes.

Table 7: Type of Work by Enderly before and after 55 years						
Type of Occupation	Before 55 Years (%)	After 55 Years (%)				
Professionals & Crafts and	63.6	24.2				
Related Tradesman						
Consultancy	9.1	24.2				
Elementary Occupations	27.3	51.5				
Number of elderly (N)	33	33				

 Table 7: Type of Work by Elderly before and after 55 years

(Source: Working Elderly Survey 2011-2012)

Sector and Intensity of Work

Most of the elderly who are currently working for a wage or salary are largely employed by private organisations. Currently approximately 6 per cent of the elderly work in the public sector work but only on contractual basis. There was no elderly in the sample who was working for the civil service.

An important feature is that a majority (58%) of the elderly who were working worked part-time only. Table 8 shows some features of

work types. Most (72%) of the part-timers were urban dwellers. But equaly similar ratio of full-time workers were the full-ti ejob holders.

Part Time/Full Time Job	Urban	Rural	Total (N)
Part Time Job	72.2	27.8	36
Full Time Job	73.1	26.9	26
Total	72.6	27.4	62
(G	T 11 1 <i>G</i>		

Table 8: Intensity of Work by Place of Residence

(Source: Working Elderly Survey 2011-2012)

Years Spent in Labour Force

The majority of the elderly who worked in the same occupation after retirment had spent more than 10 years in the respective job. Of those who change occupations, most (80%) were in in the profession for upto 3 years only.

Work: Incomes and Motivations

Of those in wage employment, 56% stated their main motivation for work as economic or livelihood compulsion, while 44% worked out of choice. 'Economic compulsion' referred to the need to support own livelihoods on account of inadequate savings, need to complete mortgage payments, need to payoff prior loans, and need to support children's education and other family needs. 'Choice' was defined as passion for a specific job, availability of a job on account of excess demand for it, keeping the people gainfully occupied, and as a means of keeping fit.

By age group, 63% of those in the 55-64 age group worked primarily because of compulsion while 37% worked out of choice. However, for those over 65 years, 63% worked because they chose to work while the rest worked because of economic compulsion. Table 9 provides data on these aspects of work by elderlies.

An expected result is that of the rural residents who worked, 69% worked out of complusion. But a relatively less expected result was that 75% of the single or 'ever married' worked because of economic compulsion while only about a half of the married retirees worked for economic reasons. One likely explanation for this is that the married retirees may be receiving relatively better support from their children; single retirees may not have the option of relying on their children for economic/emotional support.

Table 9: Incomes and Motivations						
Background	Choice (%)	Economic/Other	Number			
Variables		Compulsion (%)				
Age						
55-64	37.0	63.0	46			
65 yrs +	62.5	37.5	16			
Sex						
Male	42.2	57.8	45 (73%)			
Female	47.1	52.9	17 (27%)			
Place of Residence						
Urban	46.9	53.1	49			
Rural	30.8	69.2	13			
Ethnicity						
Fijian	45.9	54.1	37 (60%)			
Indian	40.0	60.0	25 (40%)			
Marital Status						
Married	48.0	52.0	50			
Ever Married & Single	25.0	75.0	12			
Education						
Secondary or Less	34.1	65.9	41			
Tertiary or More	61.9	38.1	21			
Income						
0>F\$3000>	31.3	68.8	16 (26%)			
F\$3000-F\$5999	33.3	66.7	15 (24%)			
F\$6000-F\$8999	30.0	70.0	10 (16%)			
F\$9000-F\$14999	58.3	41.7	12 (19%)			
F\$15000 and more	77.8	22.2	9 (15%)			

Table 9: Incomes and Motivations

(Source: Working Elderly Survey 2011-2012)

Another interesting result is that 62% of those with teritary education qualification worked out of choice leving 38% requiring work on account of economic reasons. The figure was the reverse for those with less than tertiary education. The higher savings propensity of those with tertiary qualifications, together with potentially higher superannuations for them may also explain this feature.

In terms of incomes from work, approximately a half had incomes less tan \$6,000 per annum; these were about equally divided between those earning less than \$3000 per annum and those earning between \$3000 and \$6000 per annum. Another 16% earned incomes between \$6,000 and \$9,000; 19% between \$9000 and \$15000. Only 15% earned above \$15,000 per annum. 85% of those elderlies working for wage and salaries thus earned incomes below the national poverty line.

In terms of gender, 73% of those in the workforce were males. The 'male-provider' hypothesis for work and incomes may seem relevant here.

By ethnicity, 60% of those working were ethnic Fijians. But a majority of both the groups took up work on account of economic and other needs rather than out of choice.

Self Employed Elderly

Approximately 24 per cent of the elderly are currently selfemployed of which most were previously employed elsewhere. Of the 185 elderlies in this category who substituted self-employment for formal employment after retirement, 29% were in professional, craft and related tades prior to retirement, 45% were in elementary trades and 25% were in self-employed.

Among the currently self-employed elderly, most (58%) were engaged in agricultural sector. Table 10 provides some features of the selfemployed.

	Variable	Type of Self Employed Business				
		Agri. (%)	Non-Agri (%)	No. (N)		
Age	55-64yrs	56.4	43.6	117		
	65yrs+	60.3	39.7	68		
Gender	Males	61.2	38.8	85 (45%)		
	Females	55.0	45.0	100 (54%)		
Residence*	Urban	41.9	58.1	62		
	Rural	65.9	34.1	123		
Ethnicity	Fijian	60.8	39.2	130		
	Indian	50.9	49.1	55		
Marital Sta-	Married	56.9	43.1	123		
tus	Ever Married and Single	59.7	40.3	62		
Living Ar- rangements	Alone or with spouse only	54.8	45.2	84		
	With others	60.4	39.6	101		
Health Sta-	Not so healthy	76.9	23.1	26		
tus*	Healthy	56.8	43.2	118		
	Very Healthy	48.8	51.2	41		

Table 11: Self Employed Elderly; Socio-Economic Features

Total		57.8	42.2	185			
(Source) Working Elderly Survey 2011 2012							

(Source: Working Elderly Survey 2011-2012

Interestingly there were slightly more females than males that were currently self-employed. The majority of the elderly were involved in selling agricultural produce in markets. Livestock and poultry farming were also common among rural elderly dwellers. Other agriculture related entrepreneurial activities included selling kava from home, and handicraft production and sale. Non-agriculture small scale business activities includes operating small canteens selling basic food items like sugar, flour, rice, canned food and biscuits, selling sweets and cigarettes.

Two thirds of all those engaged in self-employment were rural based. As expected, the rural self-employed were mostly (66%) engaged in agricultural businesses. In contrast only one third of the urban self-employed were in agricultural businesses; the rest were in non-agricultural businesses like catering businesses, taxi business, and money lending.

Rural elderlies with commercial agricultural businesses are mainly ethnic FIjians who relocated to their villages after retirement. A tendencyt was for them to use their retirement incomes to establish their businesses including livestock production (pig, dairy and poultry farming).

Female elderlies also sew and sold dresses, shirts., etc., as well as were engage din selling art and craft work including quilt and pillow covers, and screen printing products.

Seventy percent of all those involved in self-employment businesses were ethnic Fijians. This is a remarkably different outcome one finds compared to the national level where ethnic Fijians are regarded as comprising a minority in business sector.

Unemployed Elderly and Unpaid Work

Census data shows that 6.6% of those over 55 who were in the labour force were unemployed in 2007 compared to 3.9% in 1996 (Table 2). Approximately 70 per cent of the elderly in the study were without formal jobs or cash generating self-employment. Work undertaken by elderly females in the category mainly involved contributing to family chores like general house cleaning, cooking and gardening, and doing work which enabled other family members to go to work like caring for grandchildren. Remaining time was used for small enterprises like art and craft such as weaving, crochet and screen printing. Rural Fijian elderly women also went fishing and gathering firewood for household daily consumption. Almost all of them were part of village committees dealing with women's welfare, health and education needs related to the running of their respective villages.

Elderly Fijian rural men were mainly engaged in subsistence crop and livestock farming; surpluses were mainly used to meet community and church obligations. They also engaged themselves with general chores like grass cutting, general cleaning of their compounds and communal work such as weekly cleaning of their villages. A few used their spare time for basic repairing of their properties.

The retired elderly who returned to their villages were also engaged in leading development projects and negotiations with government and other stakeholders in the execution of village development projects. Their knowledge, skills and experiences were utilised in their own villages or settlements. The elderly also gave advice on how best households could be run in terms of finance, church, disciplining children, etc. Fijian elderlies residing in villages reported to be tasked with leadership roles such as taking positions of village head men, head of their clans and other traditional and religious positions.

Rural Indian elderly mostly involved themselves in subsistence production, activities related to their religious societies and community welfare, including running of schools, temples, water supply schemes, etc.

The critical ssue for the elderlies without cash incomes concerns the sources of their livelihoods.

A majority of the elderly not working were heavily reliant on subsistence production, particularly crop, poultry and cattle farming. Indian elderly, particularly in urban and peri-rban areas who had houses tended to rent some portions out for regular income. Most regarded themselves as mostly independent of their children, though they occassionally received remittances from them.

Fijian elderly, on the other hand were most likely living on a day to day basis, raising just enough cash to cover their daily expenditures. Among the Fijian elderly saving tended not to be a priority. They generally spent money on weddings, traditional occasions, on their children, communal obligations, and relatives. A minority were living on their pensions.

Elderly women in rural areas mainly relied on their husband or children for economic support. Rural Fijian women did not have any income of their own, relying totally on their husband or children. They generally tended to be uneducated and didnt have secure/steady incomes during their prime years. Generally they had no savings. For urban elderlies, more commonly among Fijians, children tended to rely on their parents. They would live in their parent's houses. But children would also provide subsistence support to the elderlies. The elderlies also received remittances from relatives abroad, including some spouses who went abroad for work purposes.

Urban elderly Indians on the other hand tended to be relative more well established in terms of their sources of income. Some owned rentable properties; somehad savings and/or pensions. They mostly either lived by themselves or were independent from their children.

In the rural areas, the elderly who were social welfare recipients made notable contributions to purchase of groceries. Most households' daily diet comprised vegetables and root crops. Rural elderly Fijians particularly had no steady jobs for themselves earlier; likewise their children also did not have steady cash-earning jobs. Reliance on subsistence production was the norm. As such social welfare payments were important for their cash purchase needs.

Work Status' among the Elderly

This section will examine the relationship between selected social and economic variables with work status of the elderly. Table 12 shows the relationship between the social and economic variables with the work status of the elderly. Results will be discussed together with the results obtained through a logistic regression model which was employed to determine the influence of social and economic variables on the decision of the elderly to work for wages and salary or be in self-employed. Table 13 shows that Age, Gender, Living Arrangements, Education and Health Status were all important in explaining the variation in the elderly working for wages and salary or being in self-employment. This result is consistent with Xiuyun (2015) who found that age, gender and health status are important factors in explaining employment status of the elderly in Beijing, China.

	URBAN			Rural			Total		
	Wage / Salary	Self Emp	Total	Wage/ Salary	Self Emp	Total	Wage / Salary	Self Emp	Total
Gender									

Male	53.2	46.8	47	17.1	82.9	76	30.9	69.1	123
Females	33.3	66.7	60	6.3	93.8	64	19.4	80.6	124
			0.039*			0.050*			0.037*
Age									
55-64yrs	45.8	54.2	72	12.9	87.1	101	26.6	73.4	173
65-74	37.5	62.5	32	12.5	87.5	32	25.0	75.0	64
75yrs+	0.0	100.0	3	0.0	100.0	7	0.0	100	10
			0.238			0.600			0.169
Ethnicity									
Fijian	49.2	50.8	63	8.4	91.6	107	23.5	76.5	170
Indian	31.8	68.2	44	24.2	75.8	33	28.66	71.4	77
			0.073			0.015			0.397
Marital Stat									
Married	42.2	57.8	83	15.5	84.5	97	27.8	72.2	180
Ever Mar- ried	47.4	52.6	19	6.5	93.5	31	22.0	78.0	50
Single	20.0	80.0	5	0.0	100.0	12	5.9	94.1	17
			0.544			0.165			0.118
Health Statu	IS								
Not so healthy	66.7	33.3	9	0.0	100.0	23	18.8	81.3	32
Healthy	41.1	58.9	73	16.7	83.3	90	27.6	72.4	163
Very Healthy	36.0	64.0	25	7.4	92.6	27	21.2	78.8	52
			0.267			0.065			0.436
Living Arra	ngements	5							
Alone / with Spouse	45.2	54.8	31	17.5	82.5	57	27.3	72.7	88
Spouse + at least 1 child	36.1	63.9	61	6.9	93.1	72	20.3	79.7	133
Spouse + at least 1 child & others	60.0	40.0	15	18.2	81.8	11	42.3	57.7	26
			0.223			0.153			0.051
Education Q	Qualificati	ion							
Nil	75.0	25.0	8	9.1	90.9	11	36.8	63.2	19
Primary	23.8	76.2	42	9.1	90.9	88	13.8	86.2	130
Secondary	31.3	68.8	32	16.2	83.8	37	23.2	76.8	69
Tertiary	76.0	24.0	25	50.0	50.8	4	72.4	27.6	29
			0.000***			0.078*			0.000***
Number of Living Children									
No Child	38.5	61.5	13	7.4	92.6	27	17.5	82.5	40
1-3	45.8	54.2	59	15.4	84.6	65	29.8	70.2	124

4 and above	37.1	62.9	35	10.4	89.6	48	21.7	78.3	83
			0.688			0.511			0.199
Househol	d Size								
1-3	30.0	70.0	50	16.2	83.8	74	21.8	78.2	124
>4	52.6	47.4	57	7.6	92.4	66	28.5	71.5	123
			0.018**			0.118			0.226

(Source: Working Elderly Survey 2011-2012)

 Table 13: Results of the Binary Logistic Regression Analysis on the

 Work Status of the Elderly in Fiji

Work Status of the Educity in Fiji Wages and Salary vs. Self Employ							
Variables	ERC	SE	OR				
Age**	0.064	0.098	1.066				
Gender***		0.392					
Females (Ref)	-	-	1.000				
Males	1.131	0.392	3.098				
Ethnicity							
Indians (Ref)	-	-	1.000				
Fijians	0.018	0.423	1.018				
Marital Status							
Single (Ref)	-	-	1.000				
Married*	-1.769	0.985	0.171**				
Ever Married	-1.576	1.060	0.207				
Area of Residence***							
Rural (Ref)	-	-	1.000				
Urban	1.518	0.421	4.563***				
Education Qualification***							
Tertiary (Ref)	-	-	1.000				
No Education	0.776	0.751	2.172				
Up to Primary Level	2.655	0.588	14.225***				
Up to Secondary Level	2.345	0.609	10.436***				
Household Size	-0.096	0.098	0.909				
Number of living children	-0.155	0.101	0.857				
Living Arrangements*							
With spouse, at least 1 child a + others (Ref)	-	-	1.000				
Alone or living with spouse only	0.427	0.690	1.533				
With spouse and at least 1 child	1.499	0.616	4.475*				
Health Status							
Very Healthy (Ref)	-	-	1.000				
Not so healthy	-0.645	0.726	0.524				

Healthy	-1.019	0.515	0.361**				
Constant	0.164	0.633	0.849				
Reference Category: Self Employed Elderly							
-2 log likelihood 194.213							
Cox and Snell R Square: 0.289 Nagelkerke R Square 0.427							
Pearson Chi Square: 84.128 Pearson Sig: 0.000							
Level of Significance: ***P<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.10							

(Notes: ERC= Estimated Regression Coefficient; SE= Standard Error of ERC; OR=Odds Ratio; Ref= Reference Category) (Source: Working Elderly Survey 2011-2012)

Gender

There are more employed male elderly than female elderly. This is consistent with other studies (for example, Xiuyun, 2015; Audinaryana, 2000). There is more elderly male working for wages and salary than being self-employed in the urban areas. In contrast, there are more female elderly that are self-employed than working for wages and salary. In rural areas, the majority are engaged in self-employed businesses than working for wages or salary. Women living alone had a higher incidence of work participation compared to those living with spouse or others, indicating the necessity to work for survival. Gender is a significant variable in explaining the variation in work among the elderly.

Age

Work participation among the elderly declines as they age, with the highest participation by the youngest of the lot (55-64 years). This is consistent with statistics on labour force participation of population aged 55 years and above in Fiji in both 1996 and 2007. Age also came out highly significant in the multivariate analysis (Table 13). Results show that elderly who are 65 years and above compared to elderly who are 64 years and less are more likely to be working for wages and salary than being self-employed. Workforce participation among the oldest olds is highly insignificant.

Ethnicity and Work

There seem to be more Fijians engaged in self-employed businesses compared to elderly Indians. Elderly Indians in urban areas seems to be self-sufficient and rely on their savings and/or wealth that they accumulated during their working years. However, the difference in the number of elderly that are working for wages or salary and self-employed among the Indian is lower than among the Fijian elderly. In urban areas there are almost equal numbers of Fijian elderly working wages or salary and selfemployed.

Health as a Determinant of Work

Health is also a very important determinant of work among the elderly. The working elderly regarded themselves most likely to be healthy. They were highly mobile and tended to be engaged with what was happening around them. Most of the working elderly indicated that only poor health status will stop them from work, mentioning that with good health conditions, they will continue with work.⁵

Formal work requires that the elderly be physically and mentally fit in order to work; this is almost always embedded in their contracts. REsults show that the elderly who are not so healthy compared to very healthy elderly are less likely to be working for wages and salary than being self- employed. Health elderly compared to those who are very healthy are less likely to be working for wages and salary than being selfemployed.

Elderly with injuries drop out of work. Single elderly who suffered injury from work lived mainly with their children or relatives. Disability among the elderly was mainly chracterised by movement disability. It is also common that the type of work the elderly did before retirement was most likely to affect their health (Seniloli and Tawake, 2015).

Many rural Fijian elderly, particularly females were in co- residence because of their inability to financially look after themselves. This was almost always the case with the elderly in the oldest age category who often suffered from arthritis or similar ailments affecting their ability to move and/or pursue work or daily chores. This is similar to the findings of Munsur, Tareque and Rahuman (2010) - a majority of the elderly women in Bangladesh were widowed, had no education and income, were economically dependent living with married children, and were unhealthy suffering from arthritis or mobility related illnesses.

Many elderly also regard physical work that they do daily for example gardening, farming, fishing and other work without pay, as a form of

⁵ Health has a significant effect on labour force participation of the elderly in India (Pandey, 2009). Pandey (2009) further indicated that in order to keep enough supply of elderly in the labour market, sufficient health care is necessary.

exercise and means of keeping physically fit.

Living Arrangements and Work Status

A majority of the working elderly lived with their spouses and children. Urban elderlies looked after the welfare of their household. Retirees with pensions used these to meet daily household needs. Elderly living with spouse and at least one child compared to elderly living with others were more likely to work for wages/salary than being self-employed. Interestingly, results show that married elderly compared to the single elderlies were less likely to be working for wages/salary than being selfemployed.

Elderly living alone who were in workforce were there mainly to support themselves. Work participation of women living alone was much higher than women with other living arrangements. Men living alone did not have a high work participation rate; they had other sources of income.

Elderly living with relatives, particularly elderly over 65 years were mostly full time home makers. They tended to have no income and were totally dependent. For the oldest old, children catered for them.

It was more common for Fjian elderly to live with their children and children's family. But in many cases whre the elderly owned assets / property, did not make decisions, with decision making passing into the hands of working members. Seniloli & Tawake (2014) also found that decision making within the household was mainly determined by the younger and working family members.

Fijian elderly tended to rely on remittances from their children which are provided occasionallys. Indian elderly, on the other hand, were most likely to live alone and were self-sufficient.

Education and Work

A majority of the elderly who were working for wages and salary were educated up to scondary and tertiary levels. Audinaryana (2000) argues that education beyond primary school has a positive impact upon labor force participation uniformly among the elderly. In Fiji this is more common in urban areas. For the rural areas, a majority of the working elderly had eith no education or only primary schjool education; they were mostly self-employed. Those elderly working for wages in rural areas were found mostly on sugar cane farms or in casual work like security and housemaid employment.

Residence and Work

Rural elderly were mostly engaged in self-employment compared to urban elderly; urban elderly were more likely to work for wages and salary. In urban areas, female elderly working for a wage or salary had relatively low educational attainment, were without any technical skills, thus mostly pursued basic administrative work. Elderly men in the urban areas were mostly engaged in employment requiring trade skills.

Conclusion

The result of the study is consistent with the conflict theory of productive ageing. The elderly with poor economic or financial status in Fiji were most likely to work. Work was rather a necessity than a choice. Many of the elderly were working because of the need to support their family, to survive daily and meet personal and societal obligations. Work participation of the elderly population was inversely related to the financial status for elderly.

Overall, only a minority of the elderly worked for wages/salary, and the few that were working for wages and salary, were mostly working in the private sector. Lack of higher education qualification was a hindrance for the elderly attaining formal employment.

The work participation for elderly working for a wage or salary was much higher among the poor and less educated than among the more educated and wealthier groups. Participation was higher in areas in and close to the cities. There was also higher participation among the married elderly than the ever married and the single.

Wage work participation was also higher among the elderly living with others. This is an indication of the elderly financially supporting members of the household that they lived with. Many retired elderlies used their retirement funds to establish their own economic activities (businesses, farms).

A majority of the self-employed elderlies in the workforce were rural dwellers, and were mostly females. More Fijians than Indians were engaged in self-employment. Elderly Indians tended to be more selfsufficient, relying on their pensions, savings and/or wealth that they earned during their working years.

Unemployed elderly were totally dependent on their children, spouses or relatives for sustenance. At the time of the study, there was no univeral social security payment system in the country. Rural elderly relied on subsistence land and ocean based activities. It was common among rural Fijians for the unemployed elderly to be in co-residence with unemployed children and their families. These families were dependent on subsistence farming and gathering, and in a few cases, on social welfare allowances. In urban areas, on the other hand, a significant proportion of the elderly were also financially supporting their children who are living with them.

References

- Aboderin, I. (2005). *Conditionality and Limits of Filial Obligation*. Oxford Institute of Ageing Working Papers. Working Paper Number WP205.Retrieved May 12 2011 from http://www.ageing.ox.ac.uk/files/workingpaper_205.pdf
- Audinarayana, N. (2000). Factors affecting the work participation of elderly: an empirical investigation. Paper presented at the Millennium Conference on Population, Development and Environment Nexus, New Delhi, India, February 14-16.
- Blau, D. (1998). Labour Force Dynamics of Older Married Couples. *Journal of Labour Economics*.16(3). Retrieved June 04 2011 from http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/10.1086/209900.pdf?acceptTC=true
- Campolietti, M. (2002). Disability and the Labour Force Participation of Older Men in Canada. *Labour Economics*, 9, 405-432.
- Chang, T.P. Productive Ageing. Asia Population Studies Series, 141(3). Retrieved May 15 2011 from <u>http://www.un.org/Depts/escap/pop/ap55141/chap3.html</u>
- Clark, R,L and Anker, R. (1990). Labour force participation rates of older persons: An international comparison. *International Labour Review*, 129 (2).
- Costa, D. (1998). The Evolution of Retirement: An American History 1880-1990. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Cotter, D.A., Hermsen, J.M. and Vanneman, R. (2002). Gendered Opportunities for Work: Effects on employment in later life. *Journal of Research on Ageing*. Retrieved June 15 April 28 2011 from http://www.bsos.umd.edu/socy/vanneman/papers/Cotter02.pdf
- Cowgill, D.O., and L.D. Holmes (eds). (1972). *Ageing and Modernization*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Creswell, J.W., and Clark. V.I.P. (2006). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research* (2nd Ed.). California: Sage Publications
- Deaton, A and Paxson, C, H. Patterns of Aging in Thailand and Cote d'Ivoire" in D. Wise, ed. Retrieved June 04 2011 from <u>http://www.princeton.edu/rpds/papers/Deaton Paxson Patterns of Aging in T</u> hailand and Cote dIvoire.pdf
- Debrand, T. and Lengagne P. (2008). *Working Conditions and Health of European Older Workers*. Document de travail Working Paper No 8. Retrieved June 04 2011 from
- http://www.irdes.fr/EspaceAnglais/Publications/WorkingPapers/DT8WorkingCondHe althEuropOldWorkers.pdf
- Devaney, S. A. (1995). Life Course, Private Pension and Financial Wellbeing. The

American behavioural Scientist, 39(2), 172-185.

- Fiji Islands Bureau of Statistics. (2015). Employment Statistics- Number of Wageand salary Earners. Retrieved from <u>http://www.statsfiji.gov.fj/index.php/social/9-social-statiscs/social-general/143-employment-statistics</u>
- Fiji Islands Bureau of Statistics. (2015). Summary of Key Demographic and Socio-Economic Indicators for the Total Population by Sex in 2007, 1996 and 1986. Retrieved from <u>http://www.statsfiji.gov.fj/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=22</u> <u>6:summary-of-key-demographic-and-socio-economic-indicators-for-the-total-</u> <u>population-by-sex-in-2007-1996-and-1986@catid=68:social-statistics</u>
- Fiji Islands Bureau of Statistics. (2008). 'Census 2007 results: population size, growth, structure and distribution'. Statistical News, No. 45.
- Fiji Island Bureau of Statistics. Fiji Islands Population and Housing Census Report 1996.
- Gouldner, A.W. (1960). The norm of reciprocity: a preliminary statement. *American Sociological Review*, 25 (161-178).
- Merton RK. (1968). Manifest and latent function. *Social Theory and Social Structure*, 3rd edn. New York: Free Press.
- Munnell, Alicia H. and April Yanyuan Wu. (2012). "ARE AGING BABY BOOMERS SQUEEZING
- YOUNG WORKERS OUT OF JOBS?" Working Paper. Chestnut Hill, MA: Center
- for Retirement Research at Boston College. Retrieved from <u>http://crr.bc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/IB_12-18-508.pdf</u>
- Munsur, Ahmed Mohammad; Tareque, Ismail; and Rahman, K. M. Mustafizur (2010). Determinants of Living Arrangements, Health Status and Abuse among Elderly Women: A Study of Rural Naogaon District, Bangladesh. *Journal of International Women's Studies*, 11(4), 162-176. Available at: http://vc.bridgew.edu/jiws/vol11/iss4/12
- Pandey, M. (2009). Labor Force Participation among Indian Elderly: Does Health Matter?*ASARC Working Paper, Institute of Economic Growth, Delhi-110007, India
- Pang L., Brauw A. and Rozelle S. (2004). Working Until Dropping: Employment Behaviour of the Elderly in Rural China. Retrieved May 27 2011 from <u>http://web.williams.edu/Economics/wp/debrauwrozelle_cj_elderly.pdf</u>
- Ruggles, S. (1987). Prolonged Connections: The Rise of the Extended Family in Nineteenth-Century England and America. Madison: University of Wisconsin.
- Seniloli, K., & Tawake, R. (2015). The Health Status of the Silver Generation in Fiji. *The Journal of Pacific Studies*, 35 (3), 168-187
- Seniloli, K., & Tawake, R. (2014). The Living Arrangements of the Elderly in Fiji. *The Journal of Pacific Studies*, 34, (2), 129-149.
- Schuller, T. (1987). "Second adolescence? The transition from paid employment" Work, Employment and Society, Vol 1, No 3.
- UNFPA Country Report. (2011). The Status of the Elderly in Tamil Nadu, 2011. Retrieved from: <u>http://countryoffice.unfpa.org/india/drive/BKPAI_TamilNadu.pdf</u>
- *World Population Ageing 1950-2050.* (2002). Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population Division.
- Xiuyun, G. (2015). Influencing Factors Study on Elderly Employment Status. *Studies in Sociology of Science*, Vol. 6, No.1, 2015, pp. 30-34

Kesaia Seniloli Rupeni Tawake

University of the South Pacific, Private Mail Bag, Suva. seniloli_k@usp.ac.fj; rupeni.tawake@usp.ac.fj